United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. | | | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Sandeet Singh | | | Case Number: 1:08-cr-119 | |
| facts re | In a equire | accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this | 8142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following s case. | |
| | (1) | The defendant is charged with an offense describe offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence | | |
| | (3) | in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparal The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttab | dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described ble state or local offenses. ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the date of conviction release of the defendant from (1). le presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will h(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not | |
| X | (1) | There is probable cause to believe that the defen | | |
| | (2) | under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption | of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions endant as required and the safety of the community. | |
| \square | | There is a serious risk that the defendant will not | ate Findings (B) appear. langer the safety of another person or the community. | |
| | | Part II – Written Stater | ment of Reasons for Detention | |
| | I fin | d that the credible testimony and information subn | nitted at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that | |
| driv rec with coo dru thre | ver. A ently h 64 k caine igs. O eaten | Although his ties to the U.S. are significant, he also as a 2-day trip in February 2008. He has no ties to tilograms of cocaine, apparently destined for Canachas a wholesale value exceeding \$1 million. Defen ne of the truck drivers reported to officers after arre | n of India, but is a legal resident alien living in Ohio and employed as a truck has significant ties to India, to which he has travelled with regularity, as this district. Defendant and 5 other men were arrested on April 29, 2008, da. This cocaine operation appears well organized and well financed, as the idant was in the "chase car," which was following the trucks containing the test that the men in the car were "drug dealers" and had coerced and of the offense, the high incentive to flee, defendant's ties to India, and his lack terious risk of flight. | |
| appeal the Uni | ions f . The ited S | e defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto acility separate, to the extent practicable, from per defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu | ons Regarding Detention orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a resons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding. | |
| | | | /s/ Joseph G. Scoville | |
| Date | | | Signature of Judge | |
| | | | Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge | |